

P. U. C. Course

164. K. H. SRINIVASA (Sagar) .—

Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is decided to have two years P.U.C. instead of the existing one year ;

(b) if so, when it is intended to introduce two years P.U.C. ;

(c) if so, whether it is intended to abolish XI standard ?

A.—Sri N. M. KOTRABASAIAH SOGI (Deputy Minister for Education) .—

(a) The proposal has been accepted in Principle.

(b) After the Universities in the State agree.

(c) No. The proposal is to add XII standard.

Sri K. H. SRINIVASA.—The proposal is to add XII Standard wherever XI Standard exists. As it is, the standard of the XI Standard is a subject of criticism. Will the Government take necessary action to safeguard the standards ?

† **Sri N. M. KOTRABASAIAH SOGI.—**No, Sir. The Government is not aware of the lower standards of the XI Standard and if any particular instance is brought to the notice of Government, we will take proper action.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri K. H. Srinivasa has been authorised to put Question No. 165 on behalf of Sri I. Somasekharappa.

Writ Petitions

165. Sri K. H. SRINIVASA (Sagar) [On behalf of Sri I. Somasekharappa (Hosadurga)]

Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state :—

the number of Writ Petitions against the Government pending in the High Court of Mysore as on 31st July 1969 ?

A.—Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Minister for Revenue) .—3,427.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ಶ್ರೀಸಿವಾನ.—ನರಕಾರದ ನಿರುದ್ದ ಇಟ್ಟ ರಿಟ್ ಅಫೆಗಳು ಹೆಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

†**Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—**According to the applications of the petitioners, I think, they have got some grievances against the Government.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—May I know the number of writ petitions, out of these 3,427 pending, department-wise Sir?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—That information is not available Sir.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—How many of these pending writ petitions, pertain to Government Servants for seniority claims, Sir?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—That information is not available.

Sri S. R. BOMMAI.—Out of these 3,427 writ petitions, how many have been decided in favour of Government and how many against Sir?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—All these are pending cases.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ.—ಇಷ್ಟ ರಿಚ್ ಅಫೆಗಳು ಹೆಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಇಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ ನಾಯಕಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇನು ನಡೆಸುವುದು ತಡುಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಸರಕಾರದು ಪರವಾಗಿ ಇರುವ ಲಾಯರುಗಳು ಹಾಜರಾಗುವುದು, ಅಬೋಜೆಕ್ಟ್‌ಫೇನ್ ಹಾಕುವುದು ಮಾತ್ರಾದವುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಧಾನ ವಾದು ಶ್ರೀದಾರರೆ ಏಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ನಿಜವೇ!

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—That is not correct, Sir.

Sri C. K. RAJAJAH SHETTY.—Is it not a fact that due to delay in finalisation of seniority list that so many writ petitions are filed and also due to whimsical promotions made by the Government Sir?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—That is not the reason Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಎ. ಜನೇಗ್ಗಾಡ.—ಇಷ್ಟ ರಿಚ್ ಅಫೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಭಾಗ ಸರಕಾರ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿರುವ ಕಂದಾಯವನ್ನು ವಿರೇಳಿದಿಸಿ ದಾಕಲಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಾರ್ವಜಾಕರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಕಂದಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಜನರಲ್ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರೋ?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—It is not correct.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—Is it not a fact that in some of the writ petitions the orders of the High Court have been violated and Government had to face the contempt of court proceedings? If so, what action they have proposed to take against those who are responsible for violating the High Court orders?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—The question relates to pending cases.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—In these cases only, in some cases of interim orders issued by the High Court, such orders have been violated and thereby Government had to face the contempt proceedings of the High Court especially in case of employments Sir?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—If the Hon'ble Member gives a separate notice, I will be able to answer.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Are not all these writ petitions challenging the Government orders and are there not cases where even revenue inspectors have filed writ petitions against the orders of transfer by the Government?

Sri H. V. KAUJALGI.—Yes. There are some. In all writ petitions Government orders are challenged.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—But the Revenue Inspectors are challenging the orders of transfer.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಎಂ. ಗುರುದ್ರಿ.—ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ ಫ್ರೆ ದಿಪಾಟ್ ಮೇಂಟನಲ್ಲ ಕೆಲವರು ರಿಟ್ ಫಿಷನ್ ಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೀ ಅದರ ಪೇರಿನ್ನೇ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ನವರು ಅರ್ಜಿರು ಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಅದನ್ನು ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಇಂಥಿಲ್ಲಮೆಂಬು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇ ಇರುವುದು ತಮಾಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯ್?

Sri H. V. KAUJALGI.—The question refers to pending cases but not those that are disposed of.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—What steps are taken by the Government to see that writ petitions by Government Employees are sufficiently curtailed in future?

Sri H. V. KAUJALGI.—It is the right of every man to go to court if he is aggrieved. There is no question of curtailing one's right.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ನೋಟಿಫಿಕೇಶನ್‌ಗಳು ಅನುಷ್ಠಾವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾನೂನಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇಷ್ಟ್‌ಉಂಡು ರಿಟ್ ಫಿಷನ್‌ಗಳು ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತವೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಬಂದ್ ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಕಾರ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕಾಯ್‌ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತದೆಯ್?

Sri H. V. KAUJALGI.—I do not accept this proposition.

Villages Included in the Bangalore City Corporation*

166. **Sri M. S. KRISHNAN** (Malleswaram).—

Will the Minister for Labour be pleased to state:—

(a) whether 34 villages round about Bangalore have been included in the Bangalore City Corporation;

(b) whether it is a fact that these villages are not provided with even basic amenities such as adequate water, lighting, flush out latrines, roads and drainage;

(c) whether they have any specific plan or programme to provide the basic amenities specified above;

(d) if so, the details of the programme, the Number of lights, taps, roads, etc., are to be provided during this year (1968-69) in these villages?

A.—Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Labour).—

(a) Yes.

(b) No; improvement works providing basic amenities are in progress.

(c) Yes.